

Process Safety Maturity

Eline Beulens May 2022



CONFIDENTIAL. This document contains Cargill Confidential information. Disclosure, use or reproduction outside Cargill or inside Cargill, to or by those who do not have a need to know is prohibited. © 2021 Cargill, Incorporated. All rights reserved.





Eline Beulens

Cargill Process Safety Director EMEA

Personal introduction

Cargill Process Safety team

- Since 2019 leading the EMEA Process Safety team in Cargill across businesses
- 5 years: Process Safety Lead for Starches, Sweeteners and Texturizers.

DuPont de Nemours

16 years in Operations, Technology and Continuous Improvement, in high hazardous processes

MSc. Chemical Engineering







Our purpose is to nourish the world in a safe, responsible and sustainable way.

At a glance

155,000	Working in 70	More than 155 years
employees	countries	of experience

A trusted partner for food, agriculture, financial and industrial customers in more than 125 countries.

	\$ \$ \$
-	

Agriculture



Food





Animal nutrition

and protein



Financial and industrial

Our Cargill Values





Global EHS Strategy





Our Process Safety Risk Management journey ...





Cargill's Process Safety Risk Management

- Process Safety Risk Management Procedure established
 - Governance
 - Metrics
 - 12 element framework
- The 12 elements are management systems that provide an integrated risk management framework to:
 - Identify Hazards
 - Evaluate Risk
 - Control Risk





Cargill Process Safety Risk Overview - Hazards



Acetone Ammonia Anhydrous
Ammonia Anhydrous
Butanol
Epichlorohydrin
Ethanol
Formaldehyde (Formalin)
Hexane
Hydrochloric Acid, Anhydrous
Hydrogen
Hydrogen Sulfide
Isopropyl Alcohol
Methanol
Methyl tert-butyl ether
Morpholine
Peracetic Acid (>40%)
Phosphorus Oxychloride
Propylene oxide



Strategy to reduce the Process Safety Risk in Cargill





Sustain Controls via Management System

Key Criteria per PSRM Element

	3. Process Risk Assessment
3.1.1	PRA was conducted for the site high hazard process and there is a documentation indicating that
3.2.1	The most recent site High hazard processes PRA 5 years review was conducted on time without any PRA past due.
3.2.2	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP following Cargill PRA risk matrix
3.2.3	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA third party approved facilitator list
3.2.4	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA job aid (Risk matrix, approved facilitator, etc.) and LOPA (layers of protection analysis) for A scenarios been assessed
3.2.5	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA job aid (Risk matrix, approved facilitator, etc.) and MTL group inputs are included
3.2.6	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA job aid (Risk matrix, approved facilitator, etc.) with the minimum process safety information require for PRA (e.g. most recent P&ID, Consequence analysisetc).
3.3.1	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA job aid (Risk matrix, approved facilitator, etc.) with site manager approval signature for the report and recommendations.
3.3.1	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA job aid (Risk matrix, approved facilitator, etc.) and report and recommendations are uploaded into Enablon with site manager approval signature
3.3.2	Site follow-up management system in place to pro-actively track completion of PRA recommendations
3.4.1	Site implements PRA recommendation using MOC system to assess the change and closes the recommendations with effectiveness review.
3.4.2	No overdue high hazard process PRA recommendations
3.4.3	Site conducts PRA (SWIFT, HAZOP, MOC check listetc) for small and medium projects as per Cargill job aid, with report and recommendations beer uploaded into Enablon/e-MOC.
3.4.4	Site PRA is evergreen/always up-to-date or at least actively linked with MOCs in the plant or organisation



Tool for Facilitated Assessment

PSRM HHP maturity assessment



Sustain Controls via Management System



Business Planning Tool



Sustain Controls via Management System

Business Planning Tool

Back to report	GAP LIST



Question_Num	her Question
01.1.01	The facility have measurement in place for process safety leading and lagging indicators.
01.1.02	The facility Leadership Team is trained on the concepts of Process Safety leading and lagging indicators levels and threshold.
01.2.01	The facility do report in Enablon process safety lagging indicators L1 &L2.
01.2.02	The facility do investigate process safety lagging indicators L18L2 using root cause analysis tools.
01.2.03	The facility report in Enablon process safety leading indicators L3 &L4.
01.3.01	The facility report in Enablon more than 2 events/month in average of process safety leading indicators L3 & L4.
01.3.02	The facility report and track in Enablon PRA recommendations on monthly basis.
01.4.01	The facility report and track in Enablon EHS audit recommendations on monthly basis.
01.4.02	Process Safety leading and lagging indicators data are reviewed by site leadership during plant quarterly business review.
01.4.03	The facility leverages the results of the PSRM leading and lagging indicators to help in the decision making to minimize risk (e.g., prioritize the initiative, properly allocate resources)
02.1.01	The facility have some records in place for process safety information (e.g. silos data sheets, procedures, records of RE routines, records of housekeeping routines, etc.).
02.1.02	Site P&ID's & Process flow diagrams in place and stored in shared accessible location.
02.1.04	Site Protective safety systems information are in place and up to date including Emergency alarms and communication system Explosion Protection Document and Fire protection system design basis and calculations.
02.2.01	List of process safety alarms and interlocks settings are defined and documented for site high hazard process.
02.2.02	Safe operating limits document is in place including operator response to alarms.
02.2.03	More than 50% of Physical asset information (equipment & piping sizing, design basis and area plot plans) are in place and stored in shared accessible location.
02.2.04	Site operating philosophy and material & heat energy balance models exist and up to date.
02.2.05	Site electrical and automation information (i.e. control system drawings, area classifications drawings and Explosion Protection Document i.e. ATEX if applicable) protective instrument system drawings and descriptions exist and up to dr
02.2.06	Site CSDs and SDs list defined, maintained, inspected and tested as per Cargill CSD policy.
02.2.07	Site consequence analysis document is in place and up to date.
02.3.01	Site CSDs and SDs are managed via a Computer Maintenance Management System (CMMS) (e.g., SAP or Maximo)
02.3.02	Site Design basis and calculations for relief systems, secondary containment, building ventilation, detection and suppression systems and Process ventilation and scrubber/flares are in place and up to date.
02.3.03	The site has documented that equipment (process equipment, piping, buildings, instrumentation and controllers) complies with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices (RAGAGEP). (e.g. ASME BPVC Section VIII, A NFPA 70, GB for China, NBR's for Brazil)
02.3.04	PSE L3 (Near-Miss) reporting is in place in case of true demand or failure during testing for CSDs.
02.4.01	site have a records in place, existence of all process safety and Equipment/Process information with management of change(MOC) implemented to assure accurate documents even with changes and projects.
02.4.02	Site have a RASCI is in place to govern document ownership.
02.4.03	Audit (global EHS, PSI audit) confirm that a Management System are in place and complete records exist for PSI.
03.1.01	PRA was conducted for the site high hazard process and there is a documentation indicating that.
03.2.01	The most recent site High hazard processes PRA 5 years review was conducted on time without any PRA past due.
03.2.02	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP following Cargill PRA risk matrix.
03.2.03	A PRA was conducted for the site HHP using Cargill PRA third party approved facilitator list.
03.2.04	A DRA was conducted for the site HHD using Caroll DRA inhead (Rick matrix, approved farilitator, etc) and LODA (Javers of protection analysis) for A scenarios been assessed

PER MATURITY LEVEL PER ELEMENT

Process Safety Element

- Process Safety Information
- Transitional $\left|+\right|$
- Proactive $\left|+\right|$
- + Leading
- Emerging +
- Process Risk Assessment F
- Management of Change +
- Asset Integrity
 - Total

ProcessMaturityLevel

- Transitional +
- Proactive F
- Leading +
- Emerging
- Process Safety Information
- Process Risk Assessment $\left[+\right]$
- H Management of Change
- → Asset Integrity

Total





Next steps

Strategy to reduce the Process Safety Risk in Cargill







Questions?